

### Equivalence Proof in SD and SD+

Phi 321 Formal Logic

Jared Bates, Hanover College

To prove that  $\sim(A \supset B)$  and  $A \& \sim B$  are logically equivalent, two derivations are needed. Proofs #1 and #2 prove the equivalence using only SD rules. Proofs #3 and #4 exploit SD+ rules.

1	DERIVE: $A \& \sim B$	
1.	$\sim(A \supset B)$	A
2.	$\sim A$	A/ $\sim E$
3.	A	A/ $\supset I$
4.	$\sim B$	A/ $\sim E$
5.	A	3 R
6.	$\sim A$	2 R
7.	B	4-6 $\sim E$
8.	$A \supset B$	3-7 $\supset I$
9.	$\sim(A \supset B)$	1 R
10.	A	2-9 $\sim E$
11.	B	A/ $\sim I$
12.	A	A/ $\supset I$
13.	B	11 R
14.	$A \supset B$	12-13 $\supset I$
15.	$\sim(A \supset B)$	1 R
16.	$\sim B$	11-15 $\sim I$
17.	$A \& \sim B$	10, 16 $\& I$

2	DERIVE: $\sim(A \supset B)$	
1.	$A \& \sim B$	A
2.	$A \supset B$	A/ $\sim I$
3.	A	1 &E
4.	B	2,3 $\supset E$
5.	$\sim B$	1 &E
6.	$\sim(A \supset B)$	2-5 $\sim I$

3	DERIVE: $A \& \sim B$	
1.	$\sim(A \supset B)$	A
2.	$\sim(\sim A \vee B)$	1 Impl
3.	$\sim\sim A \& \sim B$	2 DeM
4.	$A \& \sim B$	3 DN

4	DERIVE: $\sim(A \supset B)$	
1.	$A \& \sim B$	A
2.	$\sim\sim A \& \sim B$	1 DN
3.	$\sim(\sim A \vee B)$	2 DeM
4.	$\sim(A \supset B)$	3 Impl