

Argument Analysis Assignment

Phi 334 Philosophy of Mind

Jared Bates, Winter 2013

Students will write 9 weekly 1-page papers on the reading assignments. The primary purpose of these papers is to cultivate skill in (i) recognizing the main arguments in a piece of philosophical writing and (ii) analyzing (reconstructing) those arguments.

Due Dates

The papers are due at the beginning of class on Monday the week of the given reading assignment. Late papers will not be accepted for a grade. The readings are *'d on the reading schedule on the syllabus.

Mechanics

The papers are to be at least one (1) page in length, using single spacing and normal font and margin sizes. The papers are to be polished for organization, spelling and grammar. The brevity of the papers will require you to focus on exactly what you take to be the most central thesis the author is presenting and the most essential parts of the argument the author gives for that thesis.

Content

In a 1-pager you are to answer a question given for that reading assignment. The topic questions are listed on the second page of this document. The questions require that you (i) focus on one of the main issues or arguments in the reading assignment, and (ii) give a detailed analysis of those issues/arguments. The following guidelines may also help to elucidate the expected content of these papers:

- Criticism. Critical comments (e.g., "I think Hempel's argument fails because ...") should not be included in the papers. The goal is to get to a clear presentation of some argument or concept, not an assessment of the author's work.
- Quotation. Direct quotation from the assigned readings is discouraged. The papers are to represent your analysis of the assigned reading, and so should be in your own words. Use of the author's technical terms is perfectly fine, but extended quotes or close paraphrases are contrary to the purpose of the assignment.
- Organization. The arguments you reconstruct should be presented in a logical and intuitive order. This may or may not coincide with the author's presentation. 1-pagers which slavishly follow the author's order of presentation tend to include too much (e.g., going over unnecessary arguments) or tend to skip over subtleties (e.g., miss unstated parts of arguments).

Assistance

You are strongly encouraged to seek assistance with your paper throughout the writing process. There are three main sources of assistance readily available to you:

- Me. Yes, me. I have office hours and can make appointments outside office hours to talk about the material for your paper, to talk about your writing ideas, or even to read an early draft of your paper. I'm happy to help any way I can.
- Each other. Sometimes it's midnight, and I'm not around. But you have peers inside and outside this class. Try your ideas out on them. Get them to read an early draft. Or a troublesome paragraph. You can offer the same service to them in return. Of course, don't collaborate so much that you turn in a co-authored paper, but you can help each other out in plenty of other ways short of that.

- The Learning Center. <http://learningcenter.hanover.edu> Last, but certainly not least, there is the Learning Center, which offers a variety of assistance for students in their coursework. They're open 7-11pm, Sun-Thurs, on the first floor of the Duggan Library.

Grading Criteria

23-25	Excellent	Far exceeds standards of basic adequacy. A model.
20-22	Outstanding	Goes well beyond basic standards.
17-19	Satisfactory	Satisfies basic standards in all ways.
14-16	Deficient	Falls short of basic standards in some substantial way(s).
0-13	Unacceptable	Range of serious shortcomings.

Performance relative to the basic standards of adequacy is determined by the following questions: Did you identify the central point of the question and focus on the relevant passages in the text? Did you state the answer to the question correctly, clearly, and precisely? Did you identify the main supporting argument for any conclusions you needed to discuss? Did you give a correct, thorough, and precise reconstruction of the argument, paying special attention to its premises? Did you give a clear and concise account of the most important points relevant to the question? Did you avoid the pitfalls (e.g., critical comments, quotes, close paraphrases, poor organization)? Are there any errors in spelling, grammar or organization?

Topic questions

Jan 14 Kim Ch 2

Explain Descartes' dualism, Princess Elisabeth's objection to it, and Descartes' response.

Jan 21 Kim Chs 3&4

Explain the psychoneural identity theory and what you take to be the strongest objection to it.

Jan 28 Kim Chs 5&6

Explain causal-theoretical functionalism and what you take to be the strongest objection to it.

Feb 4 Kim Ch 8

Explain content externalism and the two problems for it discussed by Kim.

Feb 11 Kim Chs 9&10

Explain the case of Mary, the color scientist, and the alleged implications of her situation for physicalism.

For the remaining four *'d reading assignments, reconstruct the central argument(s) of each.